

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/827,001	04/19/2004	Gwang Hoon Kwag	423.1043	3479	
23280 7.	590 06/28/2006	EXAMINER			
DAVIDSON, DAVIDSON & KAPPEL, LLC 485 SEVENTH AVENUE, 14TH FLOOR			CHOI, LING SIU		
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1713		
		DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/827,001	KWAG ET AL.	,			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ling-Siu Choi	1713				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Renly	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed in the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status				:			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	,	s action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
-							
8)[2]	Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 April 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica ority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National	Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa					
2) Notion Notion Notion Notion	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/827,001 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-2, drawn to a high 1, 4-cis polybutadiene copolymer, classified in class 526, subclass 335.
 - II. Claims 3-32, drawn to a method to prepare a high 1,4-cis polybutadiene copolymer, classified in class 526, subclass 164.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP \ni 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as a process to make the high 1,4-cis polybutadiene copolymer by coupling polybutadiene and polyurethane.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

9

Application/Control Number: 10/827,001

Art Unit: 1713

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

Page 3

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ling-Siu Choi whose telephone number is 571-272-

1098.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on 571-272-1141.

Licesi

LING-SUI CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 15, 2006